TOPICAL REVIEW

Learning to see again: biological constraints on cortical plasticity and the implications for sight restoration technologies

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- Progress in artificial vision through suprachoroidal retinal implants
  Lilach Bareket, Alejandro Barriga-Rivera, Marc Patrick Zapf et al.

- Cortical visual prostheses: from microstimulation to functional percept
  Armin Najarpour Foroushani, Christopher C Pack and Mohamad Sawan

- Electronic approaches to restoration of sight
  G A Goetz and D V Palanker

Recent citations

- A model of ganglion axon pathways accounts for percepts elicited by retinal implants
  Michael Beyeler *et al*

- Michael Beyeler

- Prospects of Optogenetic Prosthesis of the Degenerative Retina of the Eye
  M. A. Ostrovsky and M. P. Kirpichnikov

Topical Review

Learning to see again: biological constraints on cortical plasticity and the implications for sight restoration technologies

Michael Beyeler[1,2,3,4] , **Ariel Rokem**[2,3] , **Geoffrey M Boynton**[1] **and Ione Fine**[1]

[1] Department of Psychology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States of America
[2] Institute for Neuroengineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States of America
[3] eScience Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States of America

E-mail: mbeyeler@uw.edu

Abstract

The 'bionic eye'—so long a dream of the future—is finally becoming a reality with retinal prostheses available to patients in both the US and Europe. However, clinical experience with these implants has made it apparent that the visual information provided by these devices differs substantially from normal sight. Consequently, the ability of patients to learn to make use of this abnormal retinal input plays a critical role in whether or not some functional vision is successfully regained. The goal of the present review is to summarize the vast basic science literature on developmental and adult cortical plasticity with an emphasis on how this literature might relate to the field of prosthetic vision. We begin with describing the distortion and information loss likely to be experienced by visual prosthesis users. We then define cortical plasticity and perceptual learning, and describe what is known, and what is unknown, about visual plasticity across the hierarchy of brain regions involved in visual processing, and across different stages of life. We close by discussing what is known about brain plasticity in sight restoration patients and discuss biological mechanisms that might eventually be harnessed to improve visual learning in these patients.

Keywords: cortical plasticity, perceptual learning, retinal prosthesis, sight restoration, vision

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

The field of sight restoration has made dramatic progress in the last five years. The Argus II device (epiretinal, Second Sight Medical Products Inc., Rizzo *et al* 2014, da Cruz *et al* 2016) as well as the Alpha-IMS system (subretinal, Retina Implant AG, Stingl *et al* 2015) recently completed clinical

trials and are now available for commercial sale in the US and Europe. Several other electronic vision implants have either started or are planning to start clinical trials in the near future. These include the IRIS II (epiretinal, Pixium Vision, Hornig *et al* 2017), PRIMA (subretinal, Stanford University, Lorach *et al* 2015), as well as devices by the Bionic Vision Australia consortium (suprachoroidal, Ayton *et al* 2014, Saunders *et al* 2014), Nidek Co. Ltd. (suprachoroidal, Fujikado *et al* 2016) and Nano Retina (epiretinal). At the same time, sight recovery

---

[4] Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

technologies based on optogenetics and gene therapies are also making considerable strides; over a dozen human gene therapy trials are underway (Petrs-Silva and Linden 2014), and optogenetic clinical trials will likely begin within the next two years (Busskamp *et al* 2012). Within a decade, many individuals suffering from blindness are likely to be offered a wide range of options for sight restoration that depend on widely different technologies (Fine *et al* 2015, Ghezzi 2015).

Clinical trials suggest that, for some patients, visual prostheses can provide visual information that is useful in daily life; facilitating simple object localization, motion discrimination, and letter identification (Zrenner *et al* 2011, Humayun *et al* 2012, Stingl *et al* 2013, Dagnelie *et al* 2017). However, these reports also highlight the limitations of current devices. Clinical and psychophysical measurements of patients' experiences have made it apparent that the vision provided by current devices differs substantially from normal sight. Only a handful of patients show performance close to the theoretical limits that would be expected if performance were limited by the spacing and density of electrodes. Insights from psychophysical experiments and theoretical considerations (reviewed in Fine and Boynton (2015)) suggest that this may be due to interactions between implant electronics and the underlying neurophysiology of the retina, discussed in more detail in section 2 below. In electronic and optogenetic devices these include unselective stimulation of retinal cells (Figure 1(B)), and in the case of epiretinal devices, spatial blurring due to axonal comets (panel (C)). In the case of optogenetic technologies this includes temporal blurring (panel (D)).

Second Sight Argus II patients report the experience of prosthetic vision as being like '*looking at the night sky where you have millions of twinkly lights that almost look like chaos*' (Pioneer Press 2015). Another challenge is dealing with the combination of a limited field of view and an external camera, which is disconnected from the patient's direction of gaze (Barry and Dagnelie 2016). As a result, patients are required to take in the world in a piece-wise fashion, using head motion and mental imagery to connect individually perceived phosphenes to form a whole. One patient reported: '*I do see boundaries. I can create an image. I know what a car should look like. I know what a tree should look like. I know what houses should look like. I know what objects should look like, and I have those images as memories in my brain. With this device, you can start to create an image by going back and forth, checking the boundaries and borders. We don't have natural saccade movement helping us. The camera is right above my nose in a fixed position. It doesn't move. You can create an image, but it takes a lot of time and a lot of work.*' (Discovery Eye Foundation 2014).

Consequently, it might be more appropriate to think of current retinal prostheses as 'providing visual input' rather than 'restoring sight'. Nonetheless, it is the hope of the sight restoration community that the artificial visual signal provided by electrical prostheses can help patients in daily life. One critical open question is—what role will cortical plasticity play in helping patients make use of this artificial visual input? Previous experience with cochlear implants suggests that cortical plasticity is capable of compensating for significant loss of information in the sensory input. Although the

auditory signal from the earliest cochlear implants was too diminished to allow for speech perception (Eisen 2003), current implants can mediate surprisingly good speech recognition in most adult-deafened subjects (Shannon 2012). Patients successfully adapt to the impoverished and distorted auditory input over the course of hours to approximately a year.

Plasticity for retinal implants may be very different than for cochlear implants. Early stages of the visual hierarchy seem to be much less plastic than the auditory or somatosensory systems: the preponderance of evidence, reviewed below, suggests that large-scale cortical reorganization at the level of the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) or the primary visual cortex (V1) may be very limited. This lack of plasticity early in the visual processing stream has important implications for what expectations are reasonable when hoping that patients can learn to interpret the input from visual prosthetics.

The goal of the present review is to briefly summarize the vast basic science literature on perceptual learning and cortical plasticity with an emphasis on how this literature might relate to the field of visual prostheses. The objective is not to provide concrete recommendations for prosthetic development or rehabilitative training, but to provide insight into the fundamental principles which govern perceptual learning and cortical plasticity throughout the lifespan, so as to inform the choices of engineers and clinicians who are designing devices or training patients.

We begin with a description of the distortions and information loss likely to be experienced by visual prosthesis users. We then discuss cortical plasticity and perceptual learning and describe what is known, and what is unknown, about visual plasticity; both across the hierarchy of brain regions involved in visual processing, and across different stages of life. Because we assume that most visual prostheses will be implanted in late blind individuals suffering from binocular loss (at least in the foreseeable future), certain important fields in the cortical plasticity literature are neglected in this review. These include the effects of stroke or trauma within subcortical or cortical structures, monocular deprivation (for reviews see Levi *et al* (2015) and Kiorpes (2016)), and the development of cross-modal plasticity (for review see, Bavelier and Neville (2002) and Lewis and Fine (2011)) since this tends to be relatively limited in late blind individuals (for discussion see Collignon *et al* (2013)). We close by discussing what is known about brain plasticity in sight restoration patients and discuss biological mechanisms that might eventually be harnessed to enhance perceptual learning.

2. Distortions and information loss in prosthetic devices

As mentioned in the Introduction, interactions between implant electronics and the underlying neurophysiology of the retina will differ depending on the device. Nonselective stimulation of retinal cells is a concern for all electronic and optogenetic technologies. Electrode sizes of current prosthetic devices (typically on the order of 100 μm) inevitably lead to the indiscriminate stimulation of thousands of morphologically distinct retinal cells (including simultaneous activation

Figure 1. Example simulations demonstrating potential perceptual distortions and information loss for different sight recovery technologies. All images are based on the central 12° region of a movie and a 1000 × 1000 array (A) original image. (B) Simulation of sub-retinal electrical stimulation, based on simultaneously stimulating ON and OFF pathways with no axonal stimulation. (C) Simulation of epiretinal electrical stimulation, based on simultaneously stimulating ON and OFF pathways with axonal stimulation resulting in visual 'comets'. (D) One frame in a movie showing simulation of optogenetic stimulation, based on a model of simulating ON pathways with an optogenetic protein with temporal dynamics based on ReaChR (Sengupta *et al* 2016). Motion streaks are the result of the sluggish temporal dynamics of ReaChR. Modified figure based on Fine and Boynton (2015). Copyright © 2015, The Author(s) Published by the Royal Society. All rights reserved.

of both ON and OFF cells, figure 1(B)). This is in contrast to natural stimulation, which precisely activates a number of specialized, functionally complementary, parallel processing pathways in the retina (for a review see Nassi and Callaway (2009)).

While using large electrodes exacerbates the issue, non-selective stimulation remains a concern for electronic technologies with extremely small electrodes and optogenetic technologies. In optogenetics, while it is possible to target a single class of cell (e.g. bipolar versus ganglion cells) it is not currently possible to selectively target ON versus OFF cells (see Fine *et al* (2015), for a review). Thus, for both these technologies, the lack of differentiation in neuronal responses across different cell types results in a reduction in the amount of information available to cortex (Moreno-Bote *et al* 2014). Prostheses implanted in the LGN and cortex will similarly suffer from an information-limiting lack of differentiation across the stimulated neural population, with the exact effects depending on the exact location of the implant.

There are of course many other causes of perceptual distortions and information loss. For epiretinal prostheses a

significant concern are the visual 'comets' (figure 1(C)) that result from axonal stimulation. For optogenetic technologies, there is likely to be loss of temporal resolution, due to the sluggish temporal dynamics of optogenetic proteins (e.g. ReaChR). As is observable in figure 1(D), this loss of *temporal* resolution can result in significant spatial distortions for rapidly moving objects. Finally, the distortions and information losses for subcortical and cortical prostheses are likely to be complex, and depend significantly on which layers (Pezaris and Reid 2007) and/or brain areas are stimulated. Direct electrical stimulation of the LGN (Pezaris and Reid 2007) or early visual cortex (V1/V2) elicits phosphenes (Tehovnik and Slocum 2007, Murphey *et al* 2009). In contrast, electrical or magnetic stimulation of areas like the middle temporal visual area (V5/MT, Beckers and Homberg 1992), inferotemporal cortex, or specific regions within the fusiform gyrus (Afraz *et al* 2006, Rangarajan *et al* 2014) can disrupt motion and face processing, respectively, but does not elicit percepts (Murphey *et al* 2009, Rangarajan *et al* 2014). Representations in these higher-level areas may occur at a distributed network level (Levy *et al* 2004). As a consequence focal stimulation does

Figure 2. Quantifying loss of information in epiretinal devices. (A) The retinal location of a simulated Argus II array (6 × 10 electrodes) and the predicted percepts generated by stimulating single electrodes both without (left) and with (right) axonal stimulation. (B) The retinal location of a simulated high-resolution array (30 × 50 electrodes) with analogous percepts. (C) and (D) Spatial distortions result in a reduced number of effective electrodes (i.e. the number of principal components needed to explain 95% of the variance) as a function of the number of physical electrodes in the array, excluding (C) and including (D) axonal stimulation.

not create an interpretable percept, but can disrupt the network activity elicited by a natural visual stimulus.

Because each type of device is likely to suffer from unique types of information loss, quantitative measures of the quality of visual information provided by a device may become critically important as patients are given choices across multiple very different technologies. Similarly, being able to assess the 'visual cost' of a given distortion could play an important role in prosthetic design. There are already numerous metrics that can assess the perceptual quality of an image or movie based on models of the visual system (Haines and Chuang 1992, Wang *et al* 2004, Laparra *et al*

2016), but these assume no capacity for plasticity. Metrics describing the amount of 'neurophysiologically available' information (i.e. assuming perfect plasticity) in a device are therefore also important. Figure 2 describes one way in which loss of information (in this example resulting from axonal stimulation in an epiretinal prosthesis) might be quantified. A variety of devices with the number of electrodes ranging from two to 1500 were simulated. The field of view was held roughly constant at 10 × 20° of visual angle and the electrode radius was set to 20% of the electrode–electrode spacing. Spatial distortions due to axonal stimulation was predicted using a model based on Nanduri

et al (2011). The resulting 'predicted percepts' (panels (A) and (B)) for each device were used as input into a principal components analysis. If every electrode acted independently, the resulting visual output would be able to span a space of possible percepts with a dimensionality equal to the number of electrodes in the array. We can quantify the actual dimensionality of the space by the number of principal components needed to explain 95% of the variance—this can be thought of as the number of independently acting, 'effective' electrodes. Panels (C) and (D) (note the difference in *y*-axis scaling) show the number of effective electrodes as a function of the number of physical electrodes in the device both excluding (panel (C)) and including (panel (D)) axonal stimulation. Without axonal blurring, the number of principal components increases linearly with the number of electrodes with a slope of roughly 0.5: in an array of 1400 electrodes about 700 principal components are required to explain 95% of the variance. When axonal stimulation is included the number of effective electrodes drops by about an order of magnitude; with 1400 electrodes, only around 60 principal components are required to explain 95% of the variance.

3. Cortical plasticity and perceptual learning: two sides of the same coin

Cortical plasticity (also known as neuroplasticity) is an umbrella term that describes the ability of cortex to change its structure or function in response to experience. Cortical plasticity can be observed at multiple temporal scales (Horton *et al* 2017), ranging from short-term (seconds to minutes) to long-term (days to many months). Cortical plasticity also occurs across a wide range of spatial scales, ranging from alterations in the tuning characteristics of individual neurons up to reorganization of entire neuronal circuits ('cortical remapping', see Wandell and Smirnakis (2009), for a review).

The behavioral manifestation of cortical plasticity is *perceptual learning*. In a traditional perceptual learning paradigm, training on a specific task leads to a long-lasting improvement in behavioral performance such as a decrease in the minimal orientation difference that can be detected, or an increase in the speed for detecting target shapes embedded in distracters (for a review, see Sagi (2011)). Similar to cortical plasticity, perceptual learning is a well-described feature of mammalian visual systems that occurs over multiple time scales—ranging from seconds (Mooney 1957) and minutes to years (Krupinski *et al* 2013).

Although perceptual learning and cortical plasticity represent corresponding behavioral and physiological measurements of the same phenomenon, it has often proved difficult to understand the relationship between the two. One reason for this is that performance improvements for apparently quite similar tasks can be mediated by very different cortical substrates. For example, training in auditory (Recanzone *et al* 1993) or tactile (Recanzone *et al* 1992a, 1992b) frequency discrimination seems to result in 'cortical recruitment' within primary sensory areas; that is, expansion of the amount of cortical territory/number of neurons representing the trained

frequencies. In contrast, training on visual orientation discrimination tasks does not seem to substantially alter either the number of neurons tuned to the trained orientation or the orientation tuning of neurons whose orientation preference is close to the trained frequency (Crist *et al* 2001, Ghose *et al* 2002; though see Schoups *et al* (2001) for evidence of subtle changes in tuning). Rather, visual perceptual learning is linked to alterations in extra-classical contextual responses (Crist *et al* 2001, Li *et al* 2004), possibly mediated by altered response properties within V4 neurons with orientation tuning relevant to the task (Yang and Maunsell 2004).

4. Perceptual learning

Perceptual learning is likely to be very different in prosthesis users than in sighted subjects. In subjects with normal vision it can be assumed that the organization of the visual pathways is already close to optimal, especially in the fovea (Westheimer 2001). As a result, task performance for naturalistic tasks such as object recognition is generally at ceiling, making it necessary to titrate difficulty by reducing stimulus difference (e.g. orientation difference), contrast or stimulus duration, or by adding external noise. However, even if the task is made more difficult, learning is likely still limited by the fact that the visual system is already optimized for these stimuli—indeed this is probably why familiar objects show so little learning (see task 6, figure 3, below).

4.1. Learning as a function of stimulus complexity

As shown in figure 3, the speed and extent of learning varies dramatically across different kinds of tasks or stimuli. While it is possible to produce significant improvements in performance for tasks performed on basic stimulus attributes that are represented in V1, such effects tend to require very extensive training over up to tens of thousands of trials over many days or weeks. Examples include grating detection (e.g. Li *et al* 2008, Yehezkel *et al* 2016), orientation tuning (Fine and Jacobs 2000), contrast discrimination (Dorais and Sagi 1997, Adini *et al* 2002, Yu *et al* 2004), and visual acuity (Landolt C and two-line resolution thresholds). In contrast, learning for more complex higher-order properties occurs more rapidly, with a few sessions and several hundred trials of practice (Fine and Jacobs 2000), including discriminations that are dependent on context (such as three-line bisection, Vernier discrimination, texture discrimination, contour detection, and shape discrimination).

Similar findings exist in the clinical literature. For example, reading speed can improve significantly with practice in patients with advanced macular degeneration (Chung 2011, Astle *et al* 2015, Maniglia *et al* 2016). However these improvements seem to be mainly mediated by a reduced susceptibility to crowding rather than an improvement in low-level acuity (Chung 2013, He *et al* 2013).

One possible explanation for larger learning effects in more complex tasks is that low-level representations may be resistant to plasticity in adulthood. Another possibility is that the

Figure 3. Performance improvement as a function of practice over time for 16 different perceptual tasks (ordered according to the estimated learning slope). For all studies, performance on each session was converted into d' (Green and Swets 1966). The learning index, L, measures improvements in performance with practice, $L_s = d'_s/d'_1$, where s is the session number. A learning index remaining near 1 implies that observers showed no improvement in performance with practice. Reprinted with permission (Fine and Jacobs 2002). © Fine I and Jacobs R A 2002 ARVO.

larger amount of perceptual learning observed for complex tasks or stimuli may reflect the cumulative effects of changes in tuning properties across the visual processing cascade. Both possibilities are discussed in more detail below.

4.2. Generalization and specificity in perceptual learning

The goal of training with prosthetic stimulation is to improve visual performance outside the laboratory. Thus, it is critical that learning is generalizable: improvements in performance must transfer to untrained stimuli or tasks. In general, the transfer of learning from one task to another only occurs when the two tasks share cognitive elements (Woodworth and Thorndike 1901). However, other aspects of training also play a critical role. Improvements for difficult tasks (Ahissar and Hochstein 1997, Talluri *et al* 2015), and/or tasks involving simple features, such as contrast detection (Swift and Smith 1983) or discrimination (Dorais and Sagi 1997, Yu *et al* 2004) tend to be highly specific. Improvements fail to generalize to untrained tasks performed with the same stimuli, or within the same task to untrained spatial frequencies, orientations, retinal locations, contrasts, or even eye of origin.

It is important to note that specificity in learning for properties like orientation or spatial location does not necessarily

imply that learning occurs within early cortical regions where contrast, orientation, and spatial invariance has not yet been achieved. Rather, learning may be mediated by the retuning of higher-level neurons to become more specific for these properties (Mollon and Danilova 1996, Petrov *et al* 2005, Zhang *et al* 2010).

Consistent with the perceptual learning literature on sighted subjects, patients implanted with retinal prostheses generally show barely any improvement on simple perceptual tasks, such as contrast sensitivity (Castaldi *et al* 2016) or motion discrimination (Dorn *et al* 2013, Castaldi *et al* 2016), even after extensive training over several months. The limited and highly specific perceptual plasticity observed for low level features such as contrast or motion direction has important implications for visual prosthetic design. For example, electronic prostheses have been shown to be fairly limited in the number of luminance levels (typically between four and 16, depending on the task and implant) that can be discriminated (Greenwald *et al* 2009, Stingl *et al* 2015). Similarly, current optogenetic technologies for restoring retinal function are expected to have a limited dynamic range for luminance (Gaub *et al* 2015, Sengupta *et al* 2016). In both technologies, sensitivity to contrast is also likely to be compromised by the absence of light/dark adaptation mechanisms within photoreceptors and

horizontal cells (Bownds and Arshavsky 1995). The psychophysical literature described above suggests that it could be overly optimistic to expect patients to show improved sensitivity to low-level properties such as contrast as a result of experience or training, except under highly specific contexts unlikely to be of much use in real-world vision.

With more complex tasks, making stimuli variable and the task easier (Ahissar and Hochstein 1997, Talluri *et al* 2015) has been shown to aid generalization. In the absence of stimulus variability, learning remains highly specific—generally at the most specific level possible (Ahissar and Hochstein 2004). But under conditions where stimuli vary widely generalized learning can occur, e.g. when presented at multiple spatial locations or with multiple different exemplars, especially when stimulus differences are relatively large, and performance levels are high (Xiao *et al* 2008, Wang *et al* 2012, 2014, Baeck *et al* 2016).

One important observation for visual prosthetic technologies is that real-world tasks that require higher level representations, such as object recognition, might not require compensation for the distortions of prosthetic vision to occur at the earliest stages of processing (such as in V1). For example, while it may be impossible to learn to see more brightness levels, it may be possible to develop higher level representations of objects that are less susceptible to this loss of grayscale information. For example, training with two-tone (Mooney) faces has been shown to improve face/non-face discrimination abilities (Latinus and Taylor 2005).

Finally, the nature of feedback should be considered carefully. Whenever possible, feedback should not be binary (correct/incorrect), since this gives patients very little information about the nature of their error. Rather, it might be more effective to give patients auditory or tactile information about how large their error was, and in what direction. This approach has been shown to improve target localization performance in both subjects with simulated ultra-low vision as well as patients with advanced RP (e.g. Barry and Dagnelie 2016, Endo *et al* 2016).

In summary, many of the discoveries from the perceptual learning literature on sighted individuals provide 'guidance principles' that may prove helpful in developing effective training paradigms for prosthetic or optogenetic patients. For example, although an individual using a prosthetic device would likely show far more perceptual learning than sighted subjects for a grating orientation task, this would be predicted from the basic science literature: this task for a prosthetic patient is probably much more like a complex shape discrimination task, and learning increases with stimulus complexity. The other principles of effective learning, as described above, are also likely to influence the effectiveness of rehabilitation protocols for prosthetic devices. For example, training on a very specific task (e.g. discriminating two particular orientations) may not transfer well to very different orientations or spatial frequencies. Similarly, the finding from the basic science literature that working at too difficult a performance level impedes learning (DeLoss *et al* 2014) is also likely to apply to individuals struggling to interpret prosthetic input.

Current training procedures for prosthetic users have tended to focus on maintaining a high performance level. As a result, training has relied on simple tasks with restricted stimulus sets or a limited number of navigational environments. Such task designs might have a fairly limited potential for generalizing beyond laboratory settings. Better rehabilitation designs are likely to involve patients learning to make relatively coarse discriminations using a broad stimulus set in the context of an easy task.

4.3. Training and 'gamification' within virtual environments

Designing rehabilitation paradigms for prosthetic patients that consist of easy tasks involving coarse discriminations across broad stimulus sets has proved difficult, since using a large stimulus s*et al*so tends to make the task much more difficult for patients. In contrast to sighted people whose perceptual performance is already excellent, a patient with prosthetic vision must learn to adjust to any or all of the following: camera/eye-position disconnection (Barry and Dagnelie 2016), limited field of view, poor contrast, spatial distortions that are not constant across the visual field, a luminance-brightness relationship that varies across the retina, and percepts that rapidly fade over time.

It is already recognized that 'isolating skills and using materials that are designed to support the development of these skills' (Dorn *et al* 2013) is an important aspect of training for prosthetic device users. The instructional kit provided by Second Sight Medical Products Inc. consists of high-contrast items of simple shape (e.g. plates and bowls) presented on a black background. According to Ghodasra *et al* (2016), some investigators have added items of intermediate contrast to the training set. However, for the reasons described above, training with such a restricted stimulus set may not lead to generalizable learning. Moreover, for tasks outside object identification, such as navigation, it is extremely laborious and expensive to create environments that allow for task simplification (e.g. dark rooms with white doors).

This difficulty in creating simple navigation environments has led to the suggestion that patients be trained in a familiar environment (Ghodasra *et al* 2016). However, evidence from the basic science literature suggesting that learning generally occurs at the most specific level possible raises the concern that learning to navigate a specific environment may not generalize to unfamiliar environments. There is also the concern that learning to use distorted visual information to navigate within a familiar environment for which there is a rich internal memory representation may be a very different process from using that distorted information to make sense of an unfamiliar environment.

'Virtual environments', which have recently been successfully used for training with sensory substitution devices (Maidenbaum *et al* 2013, 2014, 2016, Chebat *et al* 2015, Levy-Tzedek *et al* 2016), offer an elegant way to solve many of these difficulties. Within the VR context it is easy to generate a varied stimulus set of objects or environments. Direct (i.e. not via the camera) stimulation of electrodes can be used to create a tailored environment that can gradually and systematically shape adaptation to the various abnormalities of prosthetic vision across a wide range of tasks. Tasks and environments

can be specifically designed to master accommodation to one aspect of prosthetic vision (e.g. using head motion to explore the visual field) before moving to the next (e.g. discriminating contrast levels and so on. It is possible to gradually increase task difficulty (e.g. by gradually increasing the number of objects to be recognized, gradually moving towards realistic contrast levels, or gradually increasing the complexity of the environment to be navigated) while keeping performance at a high accuracy level so as to maximize generalization. Virtual environments can also allow for continuous haptic or auditory feedback—for example using the frequency of a tone to tell subjects that they are drifting off course in a navigation task, or haptic feedback to shape hand-eye co-ordination by gradually 'narrowing' the target window within which a subject can successfully grasp an object.

A final advantage of virtual environments is that they allow for 'gamification'—embedding the task within a video game context. It has recently been discovered that learning, especially for simpler features that are normally resistant to generalizable learning, can be enhanced through gamification (e.g. Li *et al* 2011, Deveau *et al* 2014). Gamification has been shown to improve perception, visuomotor coordination, spatial cognition, and attention; with effects remaining even two years after the end of intervention (Feng *et al* 2007, Bavelier *et al* 2010).

There are a number of reasons why the gaming context may be particularly effective for inducing plasticity: perceptual training within a gaming context generally includes more varied stimuli/surround context than standard experimental perceptual learning regimes, and might recruit top-down, attentional systems, possibly altering the excitatory/inhibitory balance to allow for heightened plasticity (Feng *et al* 2007), as described in more detail below. Gamification may be particularly effective at recruiting reward and attentional neuromodulators such as dopamine (Koepp *et al* 1998) and acetylcholine (Bavelier *et al* 2010, Rokem *et al* 2010, Rokem and Silver 2013) since video games are undoubtedly more engaging than standard psychophysical lab experiments. Finally, asking subjects to perform a task that is intrinsically rewarding is more likely to be successful in engaging patients in self-guided training—thereby reducing the need for personalized rehabilitation therapy.

5. Cortical plasticity within the sensitive period

Although implantation of prosthetic implants will be restricted to adults for the foreseeable future, the literature on plasticity in the sensitive period is nonetheless highly relevant. It is important to recognize that aspects of the visual architecture that are minimally dependent on experience during early development such as these, are unlikely to be modifiable via training in adulthood.

5.1. V1 cortical plasticity

Early in postnatal development many tuning properties within primary visual cortex are remarkably plastic. Visual experience plays an important role in shaping the formation of ocular dominance columns, the size and orientation of receptive fields, as well as the spatial frequency, direction, and disparity tuning of V1 neurons (Wiesel and Hubel 1963, Wiesel and Hubel 1965, Raviola and Wiesel 1978, Movshon and Van Sluyters 1981, Sherman and Spear 1982, Ackman and Crair 2014). In the extreme, in animal models, when visual inputs are redirected into the auditory thalamus, the auditory cortex remodels to process visual information (reviewed in Horng and Sur (2006)).

In contrast to most other tuning properties, visual experience plays only a minor role in the development of cortical retinotopic organization, which is primarily driven by molecular signaling (Huberman *et al* 2008, Cang and Feldheim 2013). In the mouse, cortical retinotopic organization persists in the absence of retinal waves, albeit with reduced precision (Grubb *et al* 2003, McLaughlin *et al* 2003, Cang *et al* 2005). Similarly, in the macaque, adult-like connections between V1 and V2 are present before LGN axons reach layer IV (Coogan and Van Essen 1996), although further refinement occurs with the onset of visual experience (Barone *et al* 1995, Batardiere *et al* 2002, Baldwin *et al* 2012).

Similarly in humans, disruption of visual experience in infancy seems to have limited effects on cortical retinotopic organization. The retinotopic organization of corticocortical connections between V1–V2–V3 can be observed within BOLD resting state correlations in both early blind and anophthalmic (in which both eyes fail to develop) individuals (Bock *et al* 2015, Striem-Amit *et al* 2015). Rod monochromats virtually lack cone photoreceptor function and therefore have a retinal scotoma within the all-cone foveola. In these individuals some of the V1 region that normally responds to the foveola responds to rod-initiated signals originating from neighboring regions of the retina (Baseler *et al* 2002), suggesting some reorganization. However, this organization is limited in spatial extent, and may be mediated by an expansion of receptive fields rather than a fundamental reorganization of the retinotopic map.

The predominance of molecular cues in determining retinal organization is also observable in individuals born without an optic chiasm or even with only one cortical hemisphere. Individuals with albinism (Hoffmann *et al* 2003, Hoffmann *et al* 2012), FHONDA syndrome (Ahmadi *et al* 2016) or born with one cortical hemisphere (Muckli *et al* 2009) have a miswiring of the retinal-fugal projection. Presumably because the retinotopic organization of cortex is primarily determined by molecular cues rather than visual experience, this miswiring results in overlapped cortical representations of left and right visual hemifields where each region of cortex represents two distant (mirror symmetric) locations in visual space (Hoffmann *et al* 2003, 2012).

5.2. Plasticity beyond V1

In humans, there is evidence for remarkable flexibility in decoding congenitally abnormal V1 representations. For example, as described above, in individuals suffering from a miswiring of the retinal-fugal projection the retinotopic

organization within V1 is highly abnormal. Despite this, acuity losses in these individuals are dominated by their foveal hypoplasia, and they show no perceptual confusion across mirror symmetric locations in the two visual hemifields (Bao *et al* 2015). This suggests that a strikingly abnormal retinotopic organization within V1 can be successfully perceptually decoded by later stages of visual processing.

PD, an individual who had central cataracts resulting in annular pupils until the age of 43 similarly showed a host of perceptual adaptations to his distorted visual input, including suppression of diplopic images, and enhanced gain control for spatial frequencies that were heavily attenuated by his poor optics (Fine *et al* 2002). Individuals with complete Schubert–Bornschein CSNB1 genetic deficits are thought to have severely compromised on-bipolar pathways (Cibis and Fitzgerald 2001, Bijveld *et al* 2013). Yet these patients show surprisingly good visual performance under photopic conditions, with an average visual acuity of 0.3 logMAR (Zeitz *et al* 2015) and report no perceptual difficulties beyond their acuity loss (Neitz and Neitz (2017), Schmidt *et al* (2014)).

In animal models, relatively few studies have examined the effects of congenital visual loss on higher levels of visual processing. However, when visual inputs are redirected into the auditory thalamus, as described above, they can guide simple visual behaviors, suggesting that visual information from auditory cortex can be functionally integrated into the visual pathways (von Melchner *et al* 2000).

6. Adult plasticity

One important question is whether adult plasticity in visual cortex differs from juvenile plasticity quantitatively, or whether there are also qualitative differences (Karmarkar and Dan 2006). Specifically, as described below it is not yet clear whether or not adult visual cortex can generate novel, functionally appropriate synaptic connections.

6.1. V1 plasticity

Since the classic study of Wiesel and Hubel (1965) it has been clear that the plasticity of early visual areas declines sharply in adulthood. Kittens who had one eye sutured shut from birth until three months of age did not have functional vision in the closed eye, even after the eye had been reopened for a considerable period. Similarly, deterioration of functional vision did not occur in cats deprived in adulthood, even when the eye was sewn shut for an entire year, showing that the effects of deprivation were far less extreme after the end of the critical period.

Curiously, tactile and auditory sensory areas seem to retain considerably more plasticity in adulthood than visual areas. Both tactile (Recanzone *et al* 1992a, 1992b) and auditory (Recanzone *et al* 1993, Ohl and Scheich 2005, Polley *et al* 2006) primary sensory areas show dramatic reorganization in adult animals. The reason for this remains unclear. One possible explanation is that there is significantly more subcortical processing within somatosensory and auditory than within visual pathways. Thus, A1 and S1 can be considered

as 'higher' in their respective processing pathways than V1 is within the visual processing hierarchy. If so, the lack of plasticity in V1 is consistent with the idea that plasticity increases across the sensory hierarchy (Hochstein and Ahissar 2002, Ahissar and Hochstein 2004), see section 6.3.

Two main types of experimental paradigms have been used to try to elicit cortical plasticity in V1: training on feature-based tasks that vary in their complexity, and retinal lesions.

6.1.1. The effect of feature-based training on V1 responses. The most common task that has been used to assess adult V1 plasticity is orientation discrimination. While one monkey electrophysiology study found subtle changes in orientation tuning after several months of practice on an orientation discrimination task (Schoups *et al* 2001), this result was not replicated by Ghose *et al* (2002) using a very similar paradigm. Electrophysiology studies using more complex tasks such as dot (Crist *et al* 2001) or line bisection (Li *et al* 2004) similarly did not find alterations in basic receptive field properties in V1, but did find that training altered top-down contextual influences. One possibility is that effects of learning for orientation within V1 are predominantly due to contextual modulation of extra-classical V1 receptive field properties (Gilbert *et al* 2001) and/or modifications in feedback responses into V1 (Sagi 2011). This interpretation is consistent with observations that (1) the effects of perceptual training on neuronal responses vanished when the trained task was not performed or when the monkey was anesthetized (Li *et al* 2004), (2) experiments probing contextual effects, such as in contour integration, seem to display stronger effects than tasks like simple orientation discrimination (Gilbert *et al* 2001), and (3) perceptual learning is tightly linked with attention (Crist *et al* 2001).

Using fMRI, studies in human V1 have found evidence of some plasticity in adult visual cortex: enhanced responses to trained orientations have been found after training with a grating detection task (Furmanski *et al* 2004) and an orientation texture discrimination task (Schwartz *et al* 2002). Training in orientation discrimination also produced more discriminable cortical responses (Jehee *et al* 2012). However, because of the sluggish hemodynamic response measured with BOLD imaging, it is not clear whether these alterations are driven by changes in bottom-up receptive field properties or top-down responses. Although one EEG study (Pourtois *et al* 2008) found, in contrast to these previous studies, that training *reduced* V1 responses starting as early as 40 ms post-stimulus, this was an exploratory study that has yet to be replicated.

6.1.2. The effect of retinal scotomata on V1 responses. Several studies have found that retinal lesions in the cat induce extremely rapid axonal sprouting and pruning in cortical areas corresponding to the scotoma (Darian-Smith and Gilbert 1994, Yamahachi *et al* 2009, Marik *et al* 2014). However, it is not clear whether this represents 'reawakening' of functional juvenile plasticity or more closely resembles the corruptive retinal remodeling that occurs in late stages of photoreceptor disease (Marc and Jones 2003).

Several studies examining cortical functional tuning after retinal lesions in cats and primates have reported that neurons in the lesion projection zone (LPZ) became responsive to visual stimulation of the retina surrounding the damaged area (Kaas *et al* 1990, Gilbert and Wiesel 1992, Darian-Smith and Gilbert 1995, Abe *et al* 2015), consistent with large-scale reorganization within cortex. However, other studies failed to find evidence of cortical reorganization after retinal lesions (Rosa *et al* 1995, Horton and Hocking 1998, Smirnakis *et al* 2005).

One difficulty in the animal literature is that, because of the lack of a retinal dystrophy model in primate, animal studies have relied on experimenter-induced retinal lesions, rather than the gradual loss of function over time that occurs in patients with retinal dystrophies. One study (Shao *et al* 2013), that used a primate identified as having a condition that approximated juvenile macular dystrophy, found no evidence of recruitment of the lesion projection zone.

Whereas some human fMRI studies have shown responses in the LPZ (Baker *et al* 2005, Baker *et al* 2008, Dilks *et al* 2009) or shifts in measured cortical retinotopic organization (Ferreira *et al* 2017) in late blind individuals suffering from visual field loss due to retinal dystrophies, other studies have failed to find evidence for reorganization (Masuda *et al* 2008, 2010, Baseler *et al* 2011).

These discrepancies between reported outcomes in both the neurophysiology (also see Calford *et al* (2005), Smirnakis *et al* (2005), for alternative explanations) and the fMRI literature may possibly be explained by one of two possible confounds: (1) using a model to estimate cortical retinotopic organization that does not consider the absence of input when the stimulus was in the scotoma, and/or (2) failing to account for top-down attentional effects.

As far as the modeling confound is concerned, failing to factor in the absence of input when the stimulus falls within the scotoma biases receptive field estimates in a way that closely mimics the expected effects of cortical reorganization (Binda *et al* 2013, Haak *et al* 2015). All the neurophysiological (Kaas *et al* 1990, Gilbert and Wiesel 1992, Darian-Smith and Gilbert 1995, Abe *et al* 2015) and fMRI (Baseler *et al* 2011, Ferreira *et al* 2017) studies that *did* find shifts in retinal organization in V1 used methods susceptible to this modeling confound. Indeed Baseler *et al* (2011) found dramatic shifts in cortical retinotopic organization in late blind macular degeneration patients. However, they did *not* attribute these shifts to plasticity because almost identical shifts were observed in normally sighted individuals with simulated scotoma (Baseler *et al* 2011, Binda *et al* 2013).

As far as the attentional confound is concerned, robust responses to visual stimuli are found in the lesion projection zone when subjects perform a one-back task (Baker *et al* 2005, 2008, Dilks *et al* 2009) but not during passive viewing (Rosa *et al* 1995, Horton and Hocking 1998, Smirnakis *et al* 2005, Masuda *et al* 2008, 2010, Baseler *et al* 2011), suggesting that the signals observed are driven by a top-down mechanism, rather than reorganization of the bottom-up sensory signal.

In summary, neurophysiological (Rosa *et al* 1995, Horton and Hocking 1998, Smirnakis *et al* 2005, Masuda *et al* 2008, 2010, Baseler *et al* 2011) and fMRI (Rosa *et al* 1995, Horton and Hocking 1998, Smirnakis *et al* 2005, Masuda *et al* 2008, 2010, Baseler *et al* 2011, Shao *et al* 2013) studies that were robust to both of these two confounds have uniformly failed to find retinotopic reorganization within cortex. Thus, compensation for the distortions and information loss of visual prosthetic devices is likely to rely on plasticity in visual cortical areas central to V1.

6.2. Plasticity beyond V1

6.2.1. The effects of training and altered visual experience. The neurophysiology literature suggests that in adult animals basic tuning properties are more malleable with training in higher-order areas of the visual cortex than in V1. For example, orientation tuning in V4, in contrast to V1, changes after training in an orientation discrimination task (Yang and Maunsell 2004). Shaping of neuronal tuning to match task demands has also been found for more complex stimuli within primate inferotemporal cortex, the highest level of the ventral visual stream. When trained with shape stimuli that varied across four features, an enhanced neuronal representation was found for features that were important for the categorization task, relative to features that were irrelevant to the task (Sigala and Logothetis 2002). Similarly, training monkeys to discriminate novel visual stimuli causes the emergence of a population of IT neurons which respond selectively to these novel stimuli (Kobatake *et al* 1998, DiCarlo and Maunsell 2000), or which become capable of distinguishing between them (Jagadeesh *et al* 2001).

In humans, the effects of altered visual experience on higher-level vision comes from case-studies of patients that have had their sight 'restored' after prolonged vision loss by ophthalmological procedures such as cataract removal (Fine *et al* 2002b, Ostrovsky *et al* 2009, Sinha *et al* 2013, McKyton *et al* 2015) or corneal replacement surgery (Fine *et al* 2003b, Sikl *et al* 2013). Improvements in the contrast sensitivity function has been noted in several (though not all) individuals who had sight restored at a young age (between the ages of 8–17 years) (Kalia *et al* 2014). This ability to show learning for the contrast sensitivity function may be age–dependent: improvements were not found in MM (Fine *et al* 2003a) or PD (Fine *et al* 2002, a case of recovery from low vision). Both MM and PD had their sight 'restored' in their 40 s.

Impairments in shape processing, object recognition, and face processing are also observed in sight recovery patients, and these persist even after more than a decade of restored optical sight (Huber *et al* 2015). Interestingly these deficits are observable both in individuals who have sight restored in late childhood (Sinha *et al* 2013) and in a patient, KP, who lost vision at 17, and had his sight restored at age 71 (Sikl *et al* 2013). Thus, the sensitive period for deprivation appears to be broader (extending well into the teenage years) than the critical period for recovering normal vision. Interestingly, motion processing, including shape from motion, seems to be

relatively robust to the effects of prolonged visual deprivation (Fine *et al* 2003b, Saenz *et al* 2008). In individuals who have suffered from prolonged blindness, visual prosthetics may prove to be more effective in providing input that can mediate 'dorsal-stream' tasks such as navigation (which are also less reliant on higher spatial frequencies), than 'ventral-stream' tasks such as reading, face recognition or object recognition.

6.2.2. Can higher-level visual areas compensate for losses earlier in the pathway? Only one study has specifically examined plasticity in accessing patterns of activity within V1. Ni and Maunsell (2010) examined the effect of prolonged training on detection thresholds for microstimulation within V1 of the macaque. Although it was possible to train the animals to become experts at detecting microstimulation of visual cortex, this expertise came at the cost of impaired detection of visual stimuli at the same retinotopic location. Interestingly, this effect was reversible after retraining with the visual stimulus task. These results suggest that the local circuitry (whether within V1 itself, or within a higher cortical area decoding V1 activity) can reconfigure to better detect task-relevant patterns of neuronal activity.

In humans, a common clinical example of long-term adult-onset distortion of V1 representations is macular degeneration. One of the first symptoms of this disease (earlier even than the presence of a noticeable blind spot), is visual distortion. Typically, straight lines appear wavy or crooked, and the aspect ratio of objects is distorted (Gerrits and Timmerman 1969, Kapadia *et al* 1994, Safran *et al* 1999, Safran *et al* 2000, Zur and Ullman 2003, Dilks *et al* 2007, Mavrakanas *et al* 2009). One likely explanation for these perceptual distortions is that shifts or expansions in receptive fields within early visual areas, such as V1, are not fully compensated for in later decoding (Dilks *et al* 2007).

The classic experimental paradigm for examining the effects of distorted visual input are prism experiments (e.g. Stratton 1897a, 1897b, Kohler 1951). Subjects asked to wear optical prisms that produce a misalignment between visual and proprioceptive information initially show biases toward the virtual (perceived) target position (for a recent review, see Sachse *et al* (2017)). After more prolonged experience, adaptation occurs, as indicated by a compensatory shift opposite to the prism deviation when subjects are asked to point straight-ahead after the prisms are removed (Redding *et al* 2005). This remarkable perceptual adaptation seems to occur in the cerebellum (Luauté *et al* 2009) and regions of superior temporal cortex implicated in visuomotor action, rather than in occipital areas. This suggests adaptation is the result of recalibrating the mapping between visuomotor coordinate systems rather than recalibrating the visual input per se. It is worth noting that the transformations induced by prisms are distinct from the distortions found in macular degeneration patients, or those described in figures 1 and 2. Prisms displace or invert the visual input with no loss of information and only very minor topographical distortion. Affine transformations such as these may be easier to decode from the retinotopically organized output of cortex.

Perhaps the most extreme example of higher visual areas compensating for V1 distortion might be circumstances where V1 is absent. In monkeys, visual training after V1 lesions restores the ability to detect and localize visual stimuli in the blind field (Weiskrantz and Cowey 1963, Mohler and Wurtz 1977). However, in humans, attempts to restore conscious vision after V1 damage with visual restoration therapy have had limited success: reports indicate that the 'restored' conscious vision is far from normal, and restoration therapy has been shown to be ineffective in cortical blindness patients (for reviews see Pambakian and Kennard (1997) and Melnick *et al* (2016)).

In summary, although higher level areas do seem to be able compensate for certain adult-onset distortions in V1, these abilities are constrained. One possibility is that there may be less flexibility in decoding distortions of the affine representation of retinotopic organization than there is in decoding features that are more heavily influenced by developmental visual experience, such as disparity, direction, orientation tuning, and visuomotor calibration.

6.3. Why does plasticity vary across the visual hierarchy?

There are many possible reasons why cortical plasticity might vary across the visual hierarchy. One possibility is that this simply reflects the cumulative effects of plasticity at multiple preceding processing stages. Learning at each stage of processing presumably involves a selective reweighting of the connections from neurons that feed into that stage, with neurons best tuned for optimal performance given more weight (Saarinen and Levi 1995, Dosher and Lu 1998, Fine and Jacobs 2000). Given that the reweighting of neurons at each stage in the hierarchy presumably propagates to the next stage (and likely also influences lower stages due to cortical feedback) an increase in plasticity as a function of the number of preceding stages is not surprising. As mentioned above, significantly more subcortical processing occurs within somatosensory and auditory pathways than within visual pathways. Thus, A1 and S1 can be considered as 'higher' in their respective processing pathways than V1 is within the visual processing hierarchy. This may explain the informal observation that tactile and auditory tasks seem to show stronger perceptual learning effects than their vision 'equivalents'.

A second, non-exclusive, possibility is that synaptic plasticity may genuinely vary across the visual hierarchy. There are a number of reasons why representations within early stages of visual processing may be resistant to plasticity in adulthood 'by design'. For example, the representational demands of early visual cortex are unlikely to change substantially across the lifespan. Early visual areas represent the entire feature space (e.g. all orientations and spatial locations), although the sampling of that feature space is heavily dependent on childhood experience. Because this representation is complete and the low-level statistics of the environment do not change dramatically over the lifespan (i.e. we don't suddenly experience new orientations in the same way as we experience new faces), there is little need for adult plasticity.

Indeed, allowing adult plasticity at early stages of processing might be expected to have deleterious consequences within later stages in the visual processing hierarchy. Any change in an early representation requires compensatory changes within all downstream representations. Given that many complex objects are only experienced relatively infrequently, this compensation would presumably have to occur *pre-emptively* to experiencing those objects. Currently, there is no known neurobiological mechanism that could explain how this compensation might occur.

Finally, differences in plasticity across the cortical hierarchy may be the consequence of qualitative differences in how information is represented. As described above, early stage representations seem to reflect specific 'features' whereas representations in higher-level areas may occur at a distributed network level (Levy *et al* 2004) that may be more amenable to experience-dependent change.

7. Effects of training in visual prosthesis patients

Visual prosthesis and optogenetic technologies offer a unique platform to study cortical plasticity in adulthood. We have seen that local connections in adult cortex might be at least partially modifiable, but is this plasticity sufficient to make visual prostheses useful?

Previous experience with cochlear implants would suggest optimism. Adult-implanted cochlear implant users initially report extremely unnatural and incomprehensible perceptual experiences. Over remarkably short time periods, not only do subjects become better at important tasks such as speech recognition, but their perceptual experiences become more qualitatively 'natural'. As described by one patient: '*It sounded like popcorn... every time someone said something it popped... as soon as I opened my mouth it popped… Now it sounds a little like Alice in Wonderland when she stands there in the tunnel talking. It is like more of a clanging sound now and it is very clear but still a bit lighter... more treble and like talking in a can.*' (Hallberg and Ringdahl 2004).

The abilities of visual prosthetic patients also improve with training. However there is little evidence that this is due to distortions becoming less perceptually apparent. Instead most improvements seem to be relatively task specific, and are the result of patients becoming better at interpreting distorted input.

One study did find reductions in visual field loss as measured with Goldmann perimetry after prosthetic implantation (Rizzo *et al* 2015) for both implanted and non-implanted eyes. This may have been due to 'reactivation' of the visual system (though other phenomena such as Charles Bonnet syndrome (Cogan 1973) and the photophobia often observed after cataract surgery suggest that long-term deprivation leads to *upregulation* of deprived visual cortex). Alternatively, these results may reflect changes in behavioral response criteria (Shapiro and Johnson 1990). Another study found decreases in detection thresholds as a function of time since surgery (Castaldi *et al* 2016). However subject performance on other relatively simple perceptual tasks, such as contrast sensitivity

(Castaldi *et al* 2016) and motion discrimination (Dorn *et al* 2013, Castaldi *et al* 2016) barely improved, even after extensive training over several months.

The largest perceptual improvements found in prosthetic users have generally been reported in tasks such as moving independently in space, locating a large bright square on a screen, and identifying large-print letters ($\sim$40°) at above-chance levels (Chader *et al* 2009, Zrenner *et al* 2011, Humayun *et al* 2012, da Cruz *et al* 2013, Dorn *et al* 2013, Stingl *et al* 2013, Rizzo *et al* 2015). After practice, single-letter recognition seems to take somewhere between a few seconds to three and a half minutes, enabling the best performing patients to read short words (da Cruz *et al* 2013).

One possibility is that the perceptual 'experience' of prosthetic users will gradually improve over time, as seems to occur for cochlear implants, due to either a reduction in perceptual distortions or an increase in the perceptual discriminability of stimuli. Alternatively, patients may learn how to use distorted information to perform specific tasks. Dissociating these two kinds of learning, and understanding how they contribute to prosthetic vision, will require careful thought in future longitudinal studies of sight restoration patients.

Importantly, many assessments of the effects of training on visual prosthetic performance have focused on 'closed' tasks under somewhat unrealistic contexts. This may lead to overly optimistic assessments of performance and the effects of training. An example of a strongly closed task is 'is that an "A" or a "B"'. An example of a less closed task is 'what letter is this?' An open task is 'What is on the screen?' One difficulty with closed tasks, particularly those that mimic open tasks, is that they can give a highly misleading impression of performance. As in figure 4, when subjects are told that the four items on the table include a plate, a cup, a napkin and a fork it is easy to see how subjects can successfully 'find the fork'. Real dinner tables tend to be more cluttered and disorganized. An individual who can successfully find a fork among a preselected set of four items may not be able to find a candlestick on a cluttered dinner table. A second issue with closed tasks is that they are likely to result in perceptual learning that is highly specific to the particular context or task used. The literature on perceptual learning (see section 4.2 above) indicates very strongly that learning will almost always occur at the most specific level possible given the training task. Thus, care should always be taken when designing training protocols to ensure that improvements are likely to generalize to performance outside the laboratory or clinic.

8. Reawakening the critical period

One exciting avenue for improving prosthetic implant outcomes may come from harnessing new methods for 'reawakening the critical period' (Bavelier *et al* 2010, Werker and Hensch 2015) via pharmacological intervention.

Recent advances in neurobiology have provided an increasingly detailed picture of the cascade of events that control critical period plasticity. During early development, the synaptic neurochemical balance is shifted towards excitation, and

Figure 4. Two examples of a dining table under conditions of simulated prosthetic vision. Under 'laboratory' conditions the plate, cup, napkin and fork are easily differentiable. Real-world conditions for Dr Fine's dining table include multiple additional and unexpected objects. Finding the fork is challenging, even for an individual with normal vision.

synaptic connectivity is fluid. Synaptic rewiring includes physical pruning and homeostatic regrowth of synapses (controlled by the mediators tPA, TNFα, protein synthesis). Over time, responses to sensory input cause the promotion of inhibitory parvalbumin cell maturation and their increased GABA function through molecular triggers, such as orthodenticle homeobox 2 (Otx2), and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF, Huang *et al* 1999). This shifts the excitation/inhibition balance towards a mature state of greater inhibition. The closure of the critical period is actively enforced by structural consolidation, including formation of a perineuronal extracellular matrix, and epigenetic brakes on plasticity (e.g. histone deacetylation) that silence the gene programs necessary for synaptic rewiring (Hensch 2005b, Takesian and Hensch 2013, Werker and Hensch 2015). This has led to a significant amount of research with the goal of 'reawakening' cortical plasticity via biochemical intervention that targets various aspects of this cascade.

In both animals and humans there is evidence that serotonin uptake inhibitors shift the excitatory-inhibitory balance towards a state of greater excitation, and may enhance plasticity. In adult animal models fluoxetine results in reduced GABAergic inhibition and increased BDNF expression, which results in reopening of the critical period in animal models (Maya-Vetencourt *et al* 2008, Bachatene *et al* 2013), but a study in humans did not find that fluoxetine enhanced perceptual learning (Lagas *et al* 2016). In humans the FDA-approved cholinesterase inhibitor donepezil seems to enhance perceptual learning, perhaps by shifting the excitation/inhibition balance towards the 'immature' state of greater excitation (Rokem *et al* 2010, Rokem and Silver 2013, Chamoun

et al 2017). While shifting the neurochemical balance towards greater excitation may be necessary to 'reopen' the critical period, there is growing evidence that it is not sufficient (Hensch 2005a, Wong 2012, Takesian and Hensch 2013). Epigenetic brakes and structural limitations must also be overcome.

As far as epigenetic limitations are concerned, in humans, valproate has been successfully used to enhance auditory cortex plasticity for training in pitch perception in adult humans (Gervain *et al* 2013), although these findings have yet to be replicated and might not generalize to the visual system. The use of valproate to target visual cortical plasticity is complicated by its adverse side effects (Sandberg *et al* 2011, Sisk 2012, Bhalla *et al* 2013) and a literature leaving it unclear whether its effect on *retinal* function in patients with retinal dystrophies is positive (Clemson *et al* 2011, Iraha *et al* 2016) or negative (Sisk 2012, Bhalla *et al* 2013).

As far as structural limitations are concerned, homeobox protein Otx2 has the potential to serve a critical role in reawakening the critical period. Disruption of Otx2 reduces parvalbumin (thereby influencing excitatory/inhibitory balance) and reduces perineuronal net expression (thereby reducing structural limitations on plasticity). In animal models disruption of Ox2 has proved sufficient to reopen plasticity in adult mice (Beurdeley *et al* 2012, Spatazza *et al* 2013). However, pharmacological enhancement of OTX2 raises serious safety concerns given that over-expression of OXT2 is associated with a variety of cancers (Di *et al* 2005, Nagel *et al* 2015).

In conclusion, as we develop a fuller understanding of the triggers, modulators and brakes that control the sensitive

period, it appears increasingly likely that 'reawakening plasticity' in cortex is likely to require simultaneously targeting multiple parts of the cascade: it is not adequate to reduce structural brakes on synaptic rewiring if the excitatory-inhibitory balance is unsuitable for new connection patterns to be established, and vice versa. However, as described above, there are numerous FDA-approved drugs that seem likely to modestly enhance plasticity. Any reader over the age of forty is well aware that adult plasticity continues to decline with increasing age. Given that most prosthetic implant recipients are likely to be elderly, even modest improvements in the capacity for rehabilitative plasticity might greatly enhance their ability to make functional use of their devices.

9. Conclusions

Visual prostheses and optogenetic methods for restoring retinal function offer a unique platform for studying cortical plasticity in adults that is likely to increase in translational importance as the field progresses. The literature described in this review strongly suggests that there exists a 'tolerance envelope' of adequate sensory information which any successful prosthetic device must match. If distortions or the amount of information loss fall within that envelope, it seems plausible that cortical plasticity can successfully compensate. However, it must be recognized that cortical plasticity is finite and cannot compensate for distortions or information loss that falls outside that envelope.

Thus, it is critical to understand which visual distortions can be compensated for via cortical plasticity, which cannot, and what role training or pharmacological intervention can play. Engineers need to focus their energies on developing technologies that fall within the sensory 'tolerance envelope'. This will require a shift from thinking of the brain as having 'pluripotent plasticity' towards a more nuanced understanding of which aspects of visual perception are adaptable, and under which conditions. It is not clear whether spatial distortions can be remapped within early visual areas, but higher level areas may be surprisingly competent at decoding a distorted world. However, learning to decode a distorted world must be done in the context of generalizable learning; this will require training across a wide range of stimulus sets or navigational environments, while maintaining high performance levels. Understanding these principles of effective and generalizable training will be critical for developing rehabilitation strategies that can efficiently help patients make best use of their implants. In the context of prosthetic vision, this may require increased use of virtual reality environments.

However, low-level visual plasticity may be limited compared to the plasticity observed in other cognitive and sensory domains. This provides a significant technical challenge for engineers, and any way of enhancing visual plasticity is likely to be extremely beneficial for rehabilitative training. In the short-term, gamification may provide significant benefits. In the longer term pharmacological intervention provides an exciting potential opportunity.

What is most exciting about the relationship between neural prosthesis development and basic neuroscience is that the flow of information will be reciprocal. The next decade is likely to yield fundamental insights within an important, but relatively poorly understood field of research—human adult plasticity. Perhaps the capacity that most differentiates humans from other animals is our capacity to continuously learn new perceptual and cognitive skills throughout our lives. Visual prosthesis patients are likely to provide a unique opportunity to gain insights into the fundamental principles that underlie these abilities.

ORCID iDs

Michael Beyeler ● https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5233-844X
Ariel Rokem ● https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0679-1985
Geoffrey M Boynton ● https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6561-6920
Ione Fine ● https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0142-7239

References

Abe H, Mcmanus J N, Ramalingam N, Li W, Marik S A, Borgloh S M and Gilbert C D 2015 Adult cortical plasticity studied with chronically implanted electrode arrays *J. Neurosci.* **35** 2778–90

Ackman J B and Crair M C 2014 Role of emergent neural activity in visual map development *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **24** 166–75

Adini Y, Sagi D and Tsodyks M 2002 Context-enabled learning in the human visual system *Nature* **415** 790–3

Afraz S R, Kiani R and Esteky H 2006 Microstimulation of inferotemporal cortex influences face categorization *Nature* **442** 692–5

Ahissar M and Hochstein S 1997 Task difficulty and the specificity of perceptual learning *Nature* **387** 401–6

Ahissar M and Hochstein S 2004 The reverse hierarchy theory of visual perceptual learning *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **8** 457–64

Ahmadi K, Fracasso A, Van Dijk J, Van Genderen M, Dumoulin S O and Hoffman M B 2016 Altered retino-cortical connections and visual cortex reorganization in the recently discovered fhonda syndrome *Annual Meeting of the Society for Neuroscience (San Diego, CA)*

Astle A T, Blighe A J, Webb B S and Mcgraw P V 2015 The effect of normal aging and age-related macular degeneration on perceptual learning *J. Vis.* **15** 16

Ayton L N *et al* 2014 First-in-human trial of a novel suprachoroidal retinal prosthesis *PLoS One* **9** e115239

Bachatene L, Bharmauria V, Cattan S and Molotchnikoff S 2013 Fluoxetine and serotonin facilitate attractive-adaptation-induced orientation plasticity in adult cat visual cortex *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **38** 2065–77

Baeck A, Maes K, Meel C V and Op De Beeck H P 2016 The transfer of object learning after training with multiple exemplars *Front. Psychol.* **7** 1386

Baker C I, Dilks D D, Peli E and Kanwisher N 2008 Reorganization of visual processing in macular degeneration: replication and clues about the role of foveal loss *Vis. Res.* **48** 1910–9

Baker C I, Peli E, Knouf N and Kanwisher N G 2005 Reorganization of visual processing in macular degeneration *J. Neurosci.* **25** 614–8

Baldwin M K, Kaskan P M, Zhang B, Chino Y M and Kaas J H 2012 Cortical and subcortical connections of V1 and V2 in early postnatal macaque monkeys *J. Comp. Neurol.* **520** 544–69

Bao P, Purington C J and Tjan B S 2015 Using an achiasmic human visual system to quantify the relationship between the fMRI BOLD signal and neural response *eLife* **4** e09600

Barone P, Dehay C, Berland M, Bullier J and Kennedy H 1995 Developmental remodeling of primate visual cortical pathways *Cereb. Cortex* **5** 22–38

Barry M P and Dagnelie G 2016 Hand-camera coordination varies over time in users of the Argus® II retinal prosthesis system *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* **10** 41

Baseler H A, Brewer A A, Sharpe L T, Morland A B, Jagle H and Wandell B A 2002 Reorganization of human cortical maps caused by inherited photoreceptor abnormalities *Nat. Neurosci.* **5** 364–70

Baseler H A, Gouws A, Haak K V, Racey C, Crossland M D, Tufail A, Rubin G S, Cornelissen F W and Morland A B 2011 Large-scale remapping of visual cortex is absent in adult humans with macular degeneration *Nat. Neurosci.* **14** 649–55

Batardiere A, Barone P, Knoblauch K, Giroud P, Berland M, Dumas A M and Kennedy H 2002 Early specification of the hierarchical organization of visual cortical areas in the macaque monkey *Cereb. Cortex* **12** 453–65

Bavelier D, Levi D M, Li R W, Dan Y and Hensch T K 2010 Removing brakes on adult brain plasticity: from molecular to behavioral interventions *J. Neurosci.* **30** 14964–71

Bavelier D and Neville H J 2002 Cross-modal plasticity: where and how? *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **3** 443–52

Beckers G and Homberg V 1992 Cerebral visual motion blindness: transitory akinetopsia induced by transcranial magnetic stimulation of human area V5 *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **249** 173–8

Beurdeley M, Spatazza J, Lee H H, Sugiyama S, Bernard C, Di Nardo A A, Hensch T K and Prochiantz A 2012 Otx2 binding to perineuronal nets persistently regulates plasticity in the mature visual cortex *J. Neurosci.* **32** 9429–37

Bhalla S, Joshi D, Bhullar S, Kasuga D, Park Y and Kay C N 2013 Long-term follow-up for efficacy and safety of treatment of retinitis pigmentosa with valproic acid *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **97** 895–9

Bijveld M M *et al* 2013 Genotype and phenotype of 101 Dutch patients with congenital stationary night blindness *Ophthalmology* **120** 2072–81

Binda P, Thomas J M, Boynton G M and Fine I 2013 Minimizing biases in estimating the reorganization of human visual areas with BOLD retinotopic mapping *J. Vis.* **13** 13

Bock A S, Binda P, Benson N C, Bridge H, Watkins K E and Fine I 2015 Resting-state retinotopic organization in the absence of retinal input and visual experience *J. Neurosci.* **35** 12366–82

Bownds M D and Arshavsky V Y 1995 What are the mechanisms of photoreceptor adaptation? *Behav. Brain Sci.* **18** 415–424

Busskamp V, Picaud S, Sahel J A and Roska B 2012 Optogenetic therapy for retinitis pigmentosa *Gene Ther.* **19** 169–75

Calford M B, Chino Y M, Das A, Eysel U T, Gilbert C D, Heinen S J, Kaas J H and Ullman S 2005 Neuroscience: rewiring the adult brain *Nature* **438** E3

Cang J and Feldheim D A 2013 Developmental mechanisms of topographic map formation and alignment *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **36** 51–77

Cang J, Kaneko M, Yamada J, Woods G, Stryker M P and Feldheim D A 2005 Ephrin-as guide the formation of functional maps in the visual cortex *Neuron* **48** 577–89

Castaldi E, Cicchini G M, Cinelli L, Biagi L, Rizzo S and Morrone M C 2016 Visual BOLD response in late blind subjects with Argus II retinal prosthesis *PLoS Biol.* **14** e1002569

Chader G J, Weiland J and Humayun M S 2009 Artificial vision: needs, functioning, and testing of a retinal electronic prosthesis *Prog. Brain Res.* **175** 317–32

Chamoun M, Huppe-Gourgues F, Legault I, Rosa-Neto P, Dumbrava D, Faubert J and Vaucher E 2017 Cholinergic potentiation improves perceptual-cognitive training of healthy young adults in three dimensional multiple object tracking *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* **11** 128

Chebat D R, Maidenbaum S and Amedi A 2015 Navigation using sensory substitution in real and virtual mazes *PLoS One* **10** e0126307

Chung S T 2011 Improving reading speed for people with central vision loss through perceptual learning *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **52** 1164–70

Chung S T L 2013 Cortical reorganization after long-term adaptation to retinal lesions in humans *J. Neurosci.* **33** 18080–6

Cibis G W and Fitzgerald K M 2001 The negative ERG is not synonymous with nightblindness *Trans. Am. Ophthalmol. Soc.* **99** 171–5 (PMCID: PMC1359007)

Clemson C M, Tzekov R, Krebs M, Checchi J M, Bigelow C and Kaushal S 2011 Therapeutic potential of valproic acid for retinitis pigmentosa *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **95** 89–93

Cogan D G 1973 Visual hallucinations as release phenomena *Albrecht Von Graefes Arch. Klin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* **188** 139–50

Collignon O, Dormal G, Albouy G, Vandewalle G, Voss P, Phillips C and Lepore F 2013 Impact of blindness onset on the functional organization and the connectivity of the occipital cortex *Brain* **136** 2769–83

Coogan T A and Van Essen D C 1996 Development of connections within and between areas V1 and V2 of macaque monkeys *J. Comp. Neurol.* **372** 327–42

Crist R E, Li W and Gilbert C D 2001 Learning to see: experience and attention in primary visual cortex *Nat. Neurosci.* **4** 519–25

Da Cruz L *et al* 2013 The Argus II epiretinal prosthesis system allows letter and word reading and long-term function in patients with profound vision loss *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **97** 632–6

Da Cruz L *et al* 2016 Five-year safety and performance results from the Argus II retinal prosthesis system clinical trial *Ophthalmology* **123** 2248–54

Dagnelie G *et al* 2017 Performance of real-world functional vision tasks by blind subjects improves after implantation with the Argus(R) II retinal prosthesis system *Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* **45** 152–9

Darian-Smith C and Gilbert C D 1994 Axonal sprouting accompanies functional reorganization in adult cat striate cortex *Nature* **368** 737–40

Darian-Smith C and Gilbert C D 1995 Topographic reorganization in the striate cortex of the adult cat and monkey is cortically mediated *J. Neurosci.* **15** 1631–47 (PMID: 7891124)

Deloss D J, Watanabe T and Andersen G J 2014 Optimization of perceptual learning: effects of task difficulty and external noise in older adults *Vis. Res.* **99** 37–45

Deveau J, Lovcik G and Seitz A R 2014 Broad-based visual benefits from training with an integrated perceptual-learning video game *Vis. Res.* **99** 134–40

Di C *et al* 2005 Identification of OTX2 as a medulloblastoma oncogene whose product can be targeted by all-trans retinoic acid *Cancer Res.* **65** 919–24 (PMID: 15705891)

Dicarlo J J and Maunsell J H 2000 Form representation in monkey inferotemporal cortex is virtually unaltered by free viewing *Nat. Neurosci.* **3** 814–21

Dilks D D, Baker C I, Peli E and Kanwisher N 2009 Reorganization of visual processing in macular degeneration is not specific to the 'preferred retinal locus' *J. Neurosci.* **29** 2768–73

Dilks D D, Serences J T, Rosenau B J, Yantis S and Mccloskey M 2007 Human adult cortical reorganization and consequent visual distortion *J. Neurosci.* **27** 9585–94

Discovery Eye Foundation 2014 Living with the Argus II

Dorais A and Sagi D 1997 Contrast masking effects change with practice *Vis. Res.* **37** 1725–33

Dorn J D, Ahuja A K, Caspi A, Da Cruz L, Dagnelie G, Sahel J A, Greenberg R J, Mcmahon M J and Gus II Study Group 2013 The detection of motion by blind subjects with the epiretinal 60-electrode (Argus II) retinal prosthesis *JAMA Ophthalmol.* **131** 183–9

Dosher B A and Lu Z L 1998 Perceptual learning reflects external noise filtering and internal noise reduction through channel reweighting *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **95** 13988–93

Eisen M D 2003 Djourno, Eyries, and the first implanted electrical neural stimulator to restore hearing *Otol. Neurotol.* **24** 500–6

Endo T, Kanda H, Hirota M, Morimoto T, Nishida K and Fujikado T 2016 False reaching movements in localization test and effect of auditory feedback in simulated ultra-low vision subjects and patients with retinitis pigmentosa *Graefe's Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* **254** 947–56

Feng J, Spence I and Pratt J 2007 Playing an action video game reduces gender differences in spatial cognition *Psychol. Sci.* **18** 850–5

Ferreira S, Pereira A C, Quendera B, Reis A, Silva E D and Castelo-Branco M 2017 Primary visual cortical remapping in patients with inherited peripheral retinal degeneration *Neuroimage Clin.* **13** 428–38

Fine I and Boynton G M 2015 Pulse trains to percepts: the challenge of creating a perceptually intelligible world with sight recovery technologies *Phil. Trans R. Soc.* B **370** 20140208

Fine I, Cepko C L and Landy M S 2015 Vision research special issue: sight restoration: prosthetics, optogenetics and gene therapy *Vis. Res.* **111** 115–23

Fine I and Jacobs R A 2000 Perceptual learning for a pattern discrimination task *Vision Res.* **40** 3209–30

Fine I and Jacobs R A 2002 Comparing perceptual learning across tasks: a review *J. Vis.* **2** 190–203

Fine I, Smallman H S, Doyle P and Macleod D I 2002 Visual function before and after the removal of bilateral congenital cataracts in adulthood *Vis. Res.* **42** 191–210

Fine I, Wade A, Boynton G M B, Brewer A, May M, Wandell B and Macleod D I A 2003a Long-term deprivation affects visual perception and cortex *Nat. Neurosci.* **6** 915–6

Fine I, Wade A R, Brewer A A, May M G, Goodman D F, Boynton G M, Wandell B A and Macleod D I 2003b Long-term deprivation affects visual perception and cortex *Nat. Neurosci.* **6** 915–6

Fujikado T *et al* 2016 One-year outcome of 49-channel suprachoroidal-transretinal stimulation prosthesis in patients with advanced retinitis pigmentosa *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **57** 6147–57

Furmanski C S, Schluppeck D and Engel S A 2004 Learning strengthens the response of primary visual cortex to simple patterns *Curr. Biol.* **14** 573–8

Gaub B M, Berry M H, Holt A E, Isacoff E Y and Flannery J G 2015 Optogenetic vision restoration using rhodopsin for enhanced sensitivity *Mol. Ther.* **23** 1562–71

Gerrits H J and Timmerman G J 1969 The filling-in process in patients with retinal scotomata *Vis. Res.* **9** 439–42

Gervain J, Vines B W, Chen L M, Seo R J, Hensch T K, Werker J F and Young A H 2013 Valproate reopens critical-period learning of absolute pitch *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* **7** 102

Ghezzi D 2015 Retinal prostheses: progress toward the next generation implants *Front. Neurosci.* **9** 290

Ghodasra D H *et al* 2016 Worldwide Argus II implantation: recommendations to optimize patient outcomes *BMC Ophthalmol.* **16** 52

Ghose G M, Yang T and Maunsell J H 2002 Physiological correlates of perceptual learning in monkey V1 and V2 *J. Neurophysiol.* **87** 1867–88

Gilbert C D, Sigman M and Crist R E 2001 The neural basis of perceptual learning *Neuron* **31** 681–97

Gilbert C D and Wiesel T N 1992 Receptive field dynamics in adult primary visual cortex *Nature* **356** 150–2

Green D M and Swets J A 1966 *Signal Detection Theory and Psychophysics* (Wiley: New York)

Greenwald S H, Horsager A, Humayun M S, Greenberg R J, Mcmahon M J and Fine I 2009 Brightness as a function of current amplitude in human retinal electrical stimulation *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **50** 5017–25

Grubb M S, Rossi F M, Changeux J P and Thompson I D 2003 Abnormal functional organization in the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus of mice lacking the beta 2 subunit of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor *Neuron* **40** 1161–72

Haak K V, Morland A B and Engel S A 2015 Plasticity, and its limits, in adult human primary visual cortex *Multisens. Res.* **28** 297–307

Haines R F and Chuang S L 1992 The effects of video compression on acceptability of images for monitoring life sciences' experiments *The Space and Earth Science Data Compression Workshop* pp 109–16

Hallberg L R and Ringdahl A 2004 Living with cochlear implants: experiences of 17 adult patients in Sweden *Int. J. Audiol.* **43** 115–21

He Y, Legge G E and Yu D 2013 Sensory and cognitive influences on the training-related improvement of reading speed in peripheral vision *J. Vis.* **13** 14

Hensch T K 2005a Critical period mechanisms in developing visual cortex *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **69** 215–37

Hensch T K 2005b Critical period plasticity in local cortical circuits *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **6** 877–88

Hochstein S and Ahissar M 2002 View from the top: hierarchies and reverse hierarchies in the visual system *Neuron* **36** 791–804

Hoffmann M B, Tolhurst D J, Moore A T and Morland A B 2003 Organization of the visual cortex in human albinism *J. Neurosci.* **23** 8921–30 (PMID: 14523094)

Hoffmann M B *et al* 2012 Plasticity and stability of the visual system in human achiasma *Neuron* **75** 393–401

Horng S H and Sur M 2006 Visual activity and cortical rewiring: activity-dependent plasticity of cortical networks *Prog. Brain Res.* **157** 3–11 (PMID: 17167899)

Hornig R *et al* 2017 Pixium vision: first clinical results and innovative developments *Artificial Vision: A Clinical Guide* ed V P Gabel (Cham: Springer) pp 99–113

Horton J C, Fahle M, Mulder T and Trauzettel-Klosinski S 2017 Adaptation, perceptual learning, and plasticity of brain functions *Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* **255** 435–47

Horton J C and Hocking D R 1998 Monocular core zones and binocular border strips in primate striate cortex revealed by the contrasting effects of enucleation, eyelid suture, and retinal laser lesions on cytochrome oxidase activity *J. Neurosci.* **18** 5433–55 (PMID: 9651225)

Huang Z J, Kirkwood A, Pizzorusso T, Porciatti V, Morales B, Bear M F, Maffei L and Tonegawa S 1999 BDNF regulates the maturation of inhibition and the critical period of plasticity in mouse visual cortex *Cell* **98** 739–55

Huber E, Webster J M, Brewer A A, Macleod D I, Wandell B A, Boynton G M, Wade A R and Fine I 2015 A lack of experience-dependent plasticity after more than a decade of recovered sight *Psychol. Sci.* **26** 393–401

Huberman A D, Feller M B and Chapman B 2008 Mechanisms underlying development of visual maps and receptive fields *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **31** 479–509

Humayun M S *et al* 2012 Interim results from the international trial of second sight's visual prosthesis *Ophthalmology* **119** 779–88

Iraha S, Hirami Y, Ota S, Sunagawa G A, Mandai M, Tanihara H, Takahashi M and Kurimoto Y 2016 Efficacy of valproic acid for retinitis pigmentosa patients: a pilot study *Clin. Ophthalmol.* **10** 1375–84

Jagadeesh B, Chelazzi L, Mishkin M and Desimone R 2001 Learning increases stimulus salience in anterior inferior temporal cortex of the macaque *J. Neurophysiol.* **86** 290–303 (PMID: 11431510)

Jehee J F, Ling S, Swisher J D, Van Bergen R S and Tong F 2012 Perceptual learning selectively refines orientation representations in early visual cortex *J. Neurosci.* **32** 16747–53a

Kaas J H, Krubitzer L A, Chino Y M, Langston A L, Polley E H and Blair N 1990 Reorganization of retinotopic cortical maps in adult mammals after lesions of the retina *Science* **248** 229–31

Kalia A, Lesmes L A, Dorr M, Gandhi T, Chatterjee G, Ganesh S, Bex P J and Sinha P 2014 Development of pattern vision following early and extended blindness *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111** 2035–9

Kapadia M K, Gilbert C D and Westheimer G 1994 A quantitative measure for short-term cortical plasticity in human vision *J. Neurosci.* **14** 451–7 (PMID: 8283250)

Karmarkar U R and Dan Y 2006 Experience-dependent plasticity in adult visual cortex *Neuron* **52** 577–85

Kiorpes L 2016 The puzzle of visual development: behavior and neural limits *J. Neurosci.* **36** 11384–93

Kobatake E, Wang G and Tanaka K 1998 Effects of shape-discrimination training on the selectivity of inferotemporal cells in adult monkeys *J. Neurophysiol.* **80** 324–30 (PMID: 9658053)

Koepp M J, Gunn R N, Lawrence A D, Cunningham V J, Dagher A, Jones T, Brooks D J, Bench C J and Grasby P M 1998 Evidence for striatal dopamine release during a video game *Nature* **393** 266–8

Kohler I 1951 Warum sehen wir aufrecht—obwohl die Bilder im Inneren des Auges verkehrt stehen? *Die Pyramide* 28–33

Krupinski E A, Graham A R and Weinstein R S 2013 Characterizing the development of visual search expertise in pathology residents viewing whole slide images *Hum. Pathol.* **44** 357–64

Lagas A K, Black J M, Byblow W D, Fleming M K, Goodman L K, Kydd R R, Russell B R, Stinear C M and Thompson B 2016 Fluoxetine does not enhance visual perceptual learning and triazolam specifically impairs learning transfer *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* **10** 532

Laparra V, Ballé J, Berardino A and Simoncelli E P 2016 Perceptual image quality assessment using a normalized Laplacian pyramid *Electron. Imaging* **2016** 1–6

Latinus M and Taylor M J 2005 Holistic processing of faces: learning effects with mooney faces *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* **17** 1316–27

Levi D M, Knill D C and Bavelier D 2015 Stereopsis and amblyopia: a mini-review *Vis. Res.* **114** 17–30

Levy I, Hasson U and Malach R 2004 One picture is worth at least a million neurons *Curr. Biol.* **14** 996–1001

Levy-Tzedek S, Maidenbaum S, Amedi A and Lackner J 2016 Aging and sensory substitution in a virtual navigation task *PLoS One* **11** e0151593

Lewis L B and Fine I 2011 The effects of visual deprivation after infancy *Adler's Physiology of the Eye* 11th edn, ed L A Levin *et al* (New York: Elsevier)

Li R W, Klein S A and Levi D M 2008 Prolonged perceptual learning of positional acuity in adult amblyopia: perceptual template retuning dynamics *J. Neurosci.* **28** 14223–9

Li R W, Ngo C, Nguyen J and Levi D M 2011 Video-game play induces plasticity in the visual system of adults with amblyopia *PLoS Biol.* **9** e1001135

Li W, Piech V and Gilbert C D 2004 Perceptual learning and top-down influences in primary visual cortex *Nat. Neurosci.* **7** 651–7

Lorach H *et al* 2015 Photovoltaic restoration of sight with high visual acuity *Nat. Med.* **21** 476–82

Luauté J, Schwartz S, Rossetti Y, Spiridon M, Rode G, Boisson D and Vuilleumier P 2009 Dynamic changes in brain activity during prism adaptation *J. Neurosci.* **29** 169–78

Maidenbaum S, Buchs G, Abboud S, Lavi-Rotbain O and Amedi A 2016 Perception of graphical virtual environments by blind users via sensory substitution *PLoS One* **11** e0147501

Maidenbaum S, Hanassy S, Abboud S, Buchs G, Chebat D R, Levy-Tzedek S and Amedi A 2014 The 'EyeCane', a new electronic travel aid for the blind: technology, behavior and swift learning *Restor. Neurol. Neurosci.* **32** 813–24

Maidenbaum S, Levy-Tzedek S, Chebat D R and Amedi A 2013 Increasing accessibility to the blind of virtual environments, using a virtual mobility aid based on the 'EyeCane': feasibility study *PLoS One* **8** e72555

Maniglia M, Cottereau B R, Soler V and Trotter Y 2016 Rehabilitation approaches in macular degeneration patients *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* **10** 107

Marc R E and Jones B W 2003 Retinal remodeling in inherited photoreceptor degenerations *Mol. Neurobiol.* **28** 139–47

Marik S A, Yamahachi H, Meyer Zum Alten Borgloh S and Gilbert C D 2014 Large-scale axonal reorganization of inhibitory neurons following retinal lesions *J. Neurosci.* **34** 1625–32

Masuda Y, Dumoulin S O, Nakadomari S and Wandell B A 2008 V1 projection zone signals in human macular degeneration depend on task, not stimulus *Cereb. Cortex* **18** 2483–93

Masuda Y, Horiguchi H, Dumoulin S O, Furuta A, Miyauchi S, Nakadomari S and Wandell B A 2010 Task-dependent V1 responses in human retinitis pigmentosa *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **51** 5356–64

Mavrakanas N A, Dang-Burgener N P, Lorincz E N, Landis T and Safran A B 2009 Perceptual distortion in homonymous paracentral scotomas *J. Neuroophthalmol.* **29** 37–42

Maya-Vetencourt J F, Sale A, Viegi A, Baroncelli L, De Pasquale R, O'leary O F, Castren E and Maffei L 2008 The antidepressant fluoxetine restores plasticity in the adult visual cortex *Science* **320** 385–8

Mckyton A, Ben-Zion I, Doron R and Zohary E 2015 The limits of shape recognition following late emergence from blindness *Curr. Biol.* **25** 2373–8

Mclaughlin T, Torborg C L, Feller M B and O'leary D D 2003 Retinotopic map refinement requires spontaneous retinal waves during a brief critical period of development *Neuron* **40** 1147–60

Melnick M D, Tadin D and Huxlin K R 2016 Re-learning to see in cortical blindness *Neuroscientist* **22** 199–212

Mohler C W and Wurtz R H 1977 Role of striate cortex and superior colliculus in visual guidance of saccadic eye movements in monkeys *J. Neurophysiol.* **40** 74–94 (PMID: 401874)

Mollon J D and Danilova M V 1996 Three remarks on perceptual learning *Spat. Vis.* **10** 51–8

Mooney C M 1957 Age in the development of closure ability in children *Can. J. Psychol.* **11** 219–26

Moreno-Bote R, Beck J, Kanitscheider I, Pitkow X, Latham P and Pouget A 2014 Information-limiting correlations *Nat. Neurosci.* **17** 1410–7

Movshon J A and Van Sluyters R C 1981 Visual neural development *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* **32** 477–522

Muckli L, Naumer M J and Singer W 2009 Bilateral visual field maps in a patient with only one hemisphere *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106** 13034–9

Murphey D K, Maunsell J H, Beauchamp M S and Yoshor D 2009 Perceiving electrical stimulation of identified human visual areas *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106** 5389–93

Nagel S, Ehrentraut S, Meyer C, Kaufmann M, Drexler H G and Macleod R A 2015 Aberrantly expressed OTX homeobox genes deregulate B-Cell differentiation in hodgkin lymphoma *PLoS One* **10** e0138416

Nanduri D, Fine I, Greenberg R J, Horsager A, Boynton G M and Weiland J D 2011 Predicting the percepts of electrical stimulation in retinal prosthesis subjects *Computational and Systems Neuroscience Meeting (Cosyne) (Salt Lake City, UT)*

Nassi J J and Callaway E M 2009 Parallel processing strategies of the primate visual system *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **10** 360–72

Neitz J and Neitz M 2017 Evolution of the circuitry for conscious color vision in primates *Eye* **31** 286–300

Ni A M and Maunsell J H 2010 Microstimulation reveals limits in detecting different signals from a local cortical region *Curr. Biol.* **20** 824–8

Ohl F W and Scheich H 2005 Learning-induced plasticity in animal and human auditory cortex *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **15** 470–7

Ostrovsky Y, Meyers E, Ganesh S, Mathur U and Sinha P 2009 Visual parsing after recovery from blindness *Psychol. Sci.* **20** 1484–91

Pambakian A L and Kennard C 1997 Can visual function be restored in patients with homonymous hemianopia? *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **81** 324–8

Petrov A A, Dosher B A and Lu Z L 2005 The dynamics of perceptual learning: an incremental reweighting model *Psychol. Rev.* **112** 715–43

Petrs-Silva H and Linden R 2014 Advances in gene therapy technologies to treat retinitis pigmentosa *Clin. Ophthalmol.* **8** 127–36

Pezaris J S and Reid R C 2007 Demonstration of artificial visual percepts generated through thalamic microstimulation *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104** 7670–5

Pioneer Press 2015 'Bionic eye' helps Duluth man make out his dark world *Pioneer Press* 21 June 2015

Polley D B, Steinberg E E and Merzenich M M 2006 Perceptual learning directs auditory cortical map reorganization through top-down influences *J. Neurosci.* **26** 4970–82

Pourtois G, Rauss K S, Vuilleumier P and Schwartz S 2008 Effects of perceptual learning on primary visual cortex activity in humans *Vis. Res.* **48** 55–62

Rangarajan V, Hermes D, Foster B L, Weiner K S, Jacques C, Grill-Spector K and Parvizi J 2014 Electrical stimulation of the left and right human fusiform gyrus causes different effects in conscious face perception *J. Neurosci.* **34** 12828–36

Raviola E and Wiesel T N 1978 Effect of dark-rearing on experimental myopia in monkeys *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **17** 485–8

Recanzone G H, Jenkins W M, Hradek G T and Merzenich M M 1992a Progressive improvement in discriminative abilities in adult owl monkeys performing a tactile frequency discrimination task *J. Neurophysiol.* **67** 1015–30 (PMID: 1597695)

Recanzone G H, Merzenich M M and Jenkins W M 1992b Frequency discrimination training engaging a restricted skin surface results in an emergence of a cutaneous response zone in cortical area 3a *J. Neurophysiol.* **67** 1057–70 (PMID: 1597697)

Recanzone G H, Schreiner C E and Merzenich M M 1993 Plasticity in the frequency representation of primary auditory cortex following discrimination training in adult owl monkeys *J. Neurosci.* **13** 87–103 (PMID: 8423485)

Redding G M, Rossetti Y and Wallace B 2005 Applications of prism adaptation: a tutorial in theory and method *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **29** 431–44

Rizzo S, Belting C, Cinelli L and Allegrini L 2015 Visual field changes following implantation of the Argus II retinal prosthesis *Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* **253** 323–5

Rizzo S, Belting C, Cinelli L, Allegrini L, Genovesi-Ebert F, Barca F and Di Bartolo E 2014 The Argus II retinal prosthesis: 12 month outcomes from a single-study center *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* **157** 1282–90

Rokem A, Landau A N, Garg D, Prinzmetal W and Silver M A 2010 Cholinergic enhancement increases the effects of voluntary attention but does not affect involuntary attention *Neuropsychopharmacology* **35** 2538–44

Rokem A and Silver M A 2013 The benefits of cholinergic enhancement during perceptual learning are long-lasting *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* **7** 66

Rosa M G, Schmid L M and Calford M B 1995 Responsiveness of cat area 17 after monocular inactivation: limitation of topographic plasticity in adult cortex *J. Physiol.* **482** 589–608

Saarinen J and Levi D M 1995 Perceptual learning in vernier acuity: what is learned? *Vis. Res.* **35** 519–27

Sachse P, Beermann U, Martini M, Maran T, Domeier M and Furtner M R 2017 'The world is upside down'—the Innsbruck Goggle experiments of Theodor Erismann (1883–1961) and Ivo Kohler (1915–1985) *Cortex* **92** 222–32

Saenz M, Lewis L B, Huth A G, Fine I and Koch C 2008 Visual motion area MT+/V5 responds to auditory motion in human sight-recovery subjects *J. Neurosci.* **28** 5141–8

Safran A B, Achard O, Duret F and Landis T 1999 The 'thin man' phenomenon: a sign of cortical plasticity following inferior homonymous paracentral scotomas *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **83** 137–42

Safran A B, Rilliet B, De Tribolet N and Landis T 2000 Perceptual distortion around homonymous scotomas is not restricted to defects located in the right hemifield *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **84** 803–4

Sagi D 2011 Perceptual learning in vision research *Vis. Res.* **51** 1552–66

Sandberg M A, Rosner B, Weigel-Difranco C and Berson E L 2011 Lack of scientific rationale for use of valproic acid for retinitis pigmentosa *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **95** 744

Saunders A L *et al* 2014 Development of a surgical procedure for implantation of a prototype suprachoroidal retinal prosthesis *Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* **42** 665–74

Schoups A, Vogels R, Qian N and Orban G 2001 Practising orientation identification improves orientation coding in V1 neurons *Nature* **412** 549–53

Schmidt B P, Neitz M and Neitz J 2014 Neurobiological hypothesis of color appearance and hue perception *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* A **31** A195–207

Schwartz S, Maquet P and Frith C 2002 Neural correlates of perceptual learning: a functional MRI study of visual texture discrimination *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **99** 17137–42

Sengupta A *et al* 2016 Red-shifted channelrhodopsin stimulation restores light responses in blind mice, macaque retina, and human retina *EMBO Mol. Med.* **8** 1248–64

Shannon R V 2012 Advances in auditory prostheses *Curr. Opin. Neurol.* **25** 61–6

Shao Y, Keliris G A, Papanikolaou A, Fischer M D, Zobor D, Jagle H, Logothetis N K and Smirnakis S M 2013 Visual cortex organisation in a macaque monkey with macular degeneration *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **38** 3456–64

Shapiro L R and Johnson C A 1990 Quantitative evaluation of manual kinetic perimetry using computer simulation *Appl. Opt.* **29** 1445–50

Sherman S M and Spear P D 1982 Organization of visual pathways in normal and visually deprived cats *Physiol. Rev.* **62** 738–855 (PMID: 6280221)

Sigala N and Logothetis N K 2002 Visual categorization shapes feature selectivity in the primate temporal cortex *Nature* **415** 318–20

Sikl R, Simeccek M, Porubanova-Norquist M, Bezdicek O, Kremlacek J, Stodulka P, Fine I and Ostrovsky Y 2013 Vision after 53 years of blindness *Iperception* **4** 498–507

Sinha P, Chatterjee G, Gandhi T and Kalia A 2013 Restoring vision through 'Project Prakash': the opportunities for merging science and service *PLoS Biol.* **11** e1001741

Sisk R A 2012 Valproic acid treatment may be harmful in non-dominant forms of retinitis pigmentosa *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **96** 1154–5

Smirnakis S M, Brewer A A, Schmid M C, Tolias A S, Schuz A, Augath M, Inhoffen W, Wandell B A and Logothetis N K 2005 Lack of long-term cortical reorganization after macaque retinal lesions *Nature* **435** 300–7

Spatazza J, Di Lullo E, Joliot A, Dupont E, Moya K L and Prochiantz A 2013 Homeoprotein signaling in development, health, and disease: a shaking of dogmas offers challenges and promises from bench to bed *Pharmacol. Rev.* **65** 90–104

Stingl K *et al* 2013 Artificial vision with wirelessly powered subretinal electronic implant alpha-IMS *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **280** 20130077

Stingl K *et al* 2015 Subretinal visual implant alpha IMS—clinical trial interim report *Vis. Res.* **111** 149–60

Stratton G M 1897a Upright vision and the retinal image *Psychol. Rev.* **4** 182–187

Stratton G M 1897b Vision without inversion of the retinal image *Psychol. Rev.* **4** 463–81

Striem-Amit E, Ovadia-Caro S, Caramazza A, Margulies D S, Villringer A and Amedi A 2015 Functional connectivity of visual cortex in the blind follows retinotopic organization principles *Brain* **138** 1679–95

Swift D J and Smith R A 1983 Spatial frequency masking and Weber's Law *Vis. Res.* **23** 495–505

Takesian A E and Hensch T 2013 Balancing plasticity/stability across brain development *Prog. Brain Res.* **207** 3–34

Talluri B C, Hung S C, Seitz A R and Series P 2015 Confidence-based integrated reweighting model of task-difficulty explains location-based specificity in perceptual learning *J. Vis.* **15** 17

Tehovnik E J and Slocum W M 2007 Phosphene induction by microstimulation of macaque V1 *Brain Res. Rev.* **53** 337–43

Von Melchner L, Pallas S L and Sur M 2000 Visual behaviour mediated by retinal projections directed to the auditory pathway *Nature* **404** 871–6

Wandell B A and Smirnakis S M 2009 Plasticity and stability of visual field maps in adult primary visual cortex *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **10** 873–84

Wang R, Zhang J-Y, Klein S A, Levi D M and Yu C 2012 Task relevancy and demand modulate double-training enabled transfer of perceptual learning *Vis. Res.* **61** 33–8

Wang R, Zhang J Y, Klein S A, Levi D M and Yu C 2014 Vernier perceptual learning transfers to completely untrained retinal locations after double training: a 'piggybacking' effect *J. Vis.* **14** 12

Wang Z, Bovik A C, Sheikh H R and Simoncelli E P 2004 Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **13** 600–12

Weiskrantz L and Cowey A 1963 Striate cortex lesions and visual acuity of the rhesus monkey *J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol.* **56** 225–31

Werker J F and Hensch T K 2015 Critical periods in speech perception: new directions *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* **66** 173–96

Westheimer G 2001 Is peripheral visual acuity susceptible to perceptual learning in the adult? *Vis. Res.* **41** 47–52

Wiesel T N and Hubel D H 1963 Effects of visual deprivation on morphology and physiology of cells in the cats lateral geniculate body *J. Neurophysiol.* **26** 978–93

Wiesel T N and Hubel D H 1965 Extent of recovery from the effects of visual deprivation in kittens *J. Neurophysiol.* **28** 1060–72 (PMID: 5883732)

Wong A M 2012 New concepts concerning the neural mechanisms of amblyopia and their clinical implications *Can. J. Ophthalmol.* **47** 399–409

Woodworth R S and Thorndike E L 1901 The influence of improvement in one mental function upon the efficiency of other functions (I) *Psychol. Rev.* **8** 247–61

Xiao L-Q, Zhang J-Y, Wang R, Klein S A, Levi D M and Yu C 2008 Complete transfer of perceptual learning across retinal locations enabled by double training *Curr. Biol.* **18** 1922–6

Yamahachi H, Marik S A, Mcmanus J N, Denk W and Gilbert C D 2009 Rapid axonal sprouting and pruning accompany functional reorganization in primary visual cortex *Neuron* **64** 719–29

Yang T and Maunsell J H 2004 The effect of perceptual learning on neuronal responses in monkey visual area V4 *J. Neurosci.* **24** 1617–26

Yehezkel O, Sterkin A, Lev M, Levi D M and Polat U 2016 Gains following perceptual learning are closely linked to the initial visual acuity *Sci. Rep.* **6** 25188

Yu C, Klein S A and Levi D M 2004 Perceptual learning in contrast discrimination and the (minimal) role of context *J. Vis.* **4** 169–82

Zeitz C, Robson A G and Audo I 2015 Congenital stationary night blindness: an analysis and update of genotype-phenotype correlations and pathogenic mechanisms *Prog. Retin. Eye Res.* **45** 58–110

Zhang J Y, Zhang G L, Xiao L Q, Klein S A, Levi D M and Yu C 2010 Rule-based learning explains visual perceptual learning and its specificity and transfer *J. Neurosci.* **30** 12323–8

Zrenner E *et al* 2011 Subretinal electronic chips allow blind patients to read letters and combine them to words *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **278** 1489–97

Zur D and Ullman S 2003 Filling-in of retinal scotomas *Vis. Res.* **43** 971–82